

MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612)
United States Attorney

DAVID R. CALLAWAY (CABN 121782)
Chief, Criminal Division

FRANK J. RIEBLI (CABN 221152)
Assistant United States Attorney
450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
San Francisco, California 94102-3495
Telephone: (415) 436-7200
FAX: (415) 436-7234
Frank.Riebli@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	CASE NO. CR 15-371 JST
)	
Plaintiff,)	STIPULATION AND
)	
v.)	PROPOSED
)	
JOSE LUIS RUIZ MALDONADO, and)	ORDER EXCLUDING TIME
HUBER RUIZ MALDONADO,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
)	

On August 28, 2015, the government and defendants Jose Luis Ruiz Maldonado and Huber Ruiz Maldonado appeared through counsel in this matter. It was the parties' first appearance. The parties informed the Court that the government has produced discovery to the defendants and is in the process of obtaining additional materials that the defense has requested. The government also represented that it is discussing resolution with one of the defendants. The parties agreed that the defendants need additional time to review the discovery and evaluate any potential defenses and or resolutions. Accordingly, the parties agreed that time should be excluded between August 28, 2015, and the date of the next appearance, October 9, 2015, in order to allow for the effective preparation of counsel.

18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

STIPULATION AND ORDER EXCLUDING TIME
CR 15-371 JST

The parties agree that the ends of justice served by granting the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

DATED: August 28, 2015

Respectfully submitted,

MELINDA HAAG
United States Attorney

/s/
FRANK J. RIEBLI
Assistant United States Attorney

/s/ Frank Riebli w/ permission
EDWARD SMOCK
Attorney for Jose Luis Ruiz
Maldonado

/s/ Frank Riebli w/ permission
SCOTT SUGARMAN
Attorney for Huber Ruiz Maldonado

For the reasons stated above, the Court finds that the exclusion of time from August 28, 2015 through and including October 9, 2015 is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny the defendants effective preparation of counsel, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
SO ORDERED.

DATED: August 31, 2015


HONORABLE JON S. TIGAR
United States District Judge